

Nove mogućnosti recenzije u elektroničkoj sredini

Dr. sc. Ivana Hebrang Grgić, doc.

Odsjek za informacijske i komunikacijske znanosti
Filozofski fakultet Sveučilišta u Zagrebu

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS:
GIVING SOME
ACCOMPT
OF THE PRESENT
Undertakings, Studies, and Labours
OF THE
INGENIOUS
IN MANY
CONSIDERABLE PARTS
OF THE
WORLD

Vol I.

For *Anno 1665*, and *1666*.

In the SAVOY,
Printed by T. N. for Jobu Martyn at the Bell, a little with-
out Temple-Bar, and James Allestry in Duck-Lane,
Printers to the Royal Society.

Klasična recenzija

- Dvostruka anonimnost
- Obrazac i upute recenzentima
- Uloga urednika
- Ugled i kvaliteta časopisa
- Prednosti i nedostaci
- Prethodna recenzija

Novi načini recenzije

- Prethodna + naknadna recenzija
- Otvorena recenzija
- Otvoreni komentari stručnjaka (skupna recenzija)
- Kaskadna recenzija
- Dvostupanjska recenzija
- Interaktivno objavljivanje, karijerna recenzija...

Interaktivno objavljivanje

- *Electronic Journal of Cognitive and Brain Science*
- Prva razina, bez recenzije, otvoreno komentiranje
- 80% pozitivnih komentara – druga razina
- Objavljivanje komentara koji imaju 80% pozitivnih ocjena

Otvoreni komentari

- S. Harnad, *Behavioral and Brain Science*
 - 75% komentara nije bilo na odgovarajućoj razini
 - odabir komentara koji se objavljuju
- *Shakespeare Quarterly*
 - 6 tjedana za komentare
 - 3 tjedna za uređivanje rukopisa
 - klasična recenzija

Otvoreni komentari

- *Nature*, 2006.
 - 4 mjeseca, 71 članak
 - potpisani komentari
 - ukupno 92 komentara (33 članka bez komentara)
 - 10.000 učitavanja


Otvoreni komentari



 OPEN ACCESS

RESEARCH IN TRANSLATION

Can Animal Models of Disease Reliably Inform Human Studies?

H. Bart van der Worp , David W. Howells, Emily S. Sena, Michelle J. Porritt, Sarah Rewell, Victoria O'Collins, Malcolm R. Macleod

Published: March 30, 2010 • DOI: [10.1371/journal.pmed.1000245](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000245)

217
Saves

20
Citations

43,101
Views

182
Shares

Article

Authors

Metrics

Comments

Related Content



Download PDF 

Print

Share

 OPEN ACCESS

RESEARCH IN TRANSLATION

43,101

VIEWS

20

CITATIONS

217

SAVES

182

SHARES

Can Animal Models of Disease Reliably Inform Human Studies?


H. Bart van der Worp , David W. Howells, Emily S. Sena, Michelle J. Porritt, Sarah Rewell, Victoria O'Collins, Malcolm R. Macleod

Published: March 30, 2010 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000245


Article	About the Authors	Metrics	Comments 	Related Content
----------------	--------------------------	----------------	--	------------------------

Download PDF 

Print **Share**

 CrossMark

Subject Areas 

Animal models 

Reader Comments (0)

[Post a new comment](#) on this article

Discussed ?






Information on PLOS Article-Level Metrics


Questions or concerns about usage data? Please let us know.

Can Animal Models of Disease Reliably Inform Human Studies?

8 tweets as recorded by Twitter. Article published Mar 30, 2010. Tweets updated May 8, 2015.

-  EmbraceTheCoda @poly_pology <http://t.co/m2RAvLFsVW> <http://t.co/EEEnro62gk3> <http://t.co/A95pQEQLof> 3 views on the use of animal models.
Oct 15, 2014
-  PaulWhiteleyPhD "Animal research into new therapies has failed to deliver after years of experiments" <http://t.co/mf0gRsMos4> Mmm...
<http://t.co/m0GWFVICTm>
May 21, 2014
-  MarkJKamstra @uma_karma the lesson is still valuable - men smell. ;-) Just disappointed with animal models - these often mislead:
<http://t.co/cTqTskw20s>
Apr 29, 2014

Otvoreni komentari



PubMed Commons enables authors to share opinions and information about scientific publications in PubMed.

[Get Started](#)

[Guidelines](#)

[FAQ](#)

[Journal Clubs](#)

Top comments now - [more about this](#)


Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources.

Huang da W. Nat Protoc. 2009. [1 comment](#)

[Jeff Kiefer](#) 2015 May 07 5:26 p.m. (23 hours ago) 1 of 1 people found this helpful

DAVID is continuously being used as evidenced by its numerous citations in current biomedical literature <http://bit.ly/1FS1JgT>. However, the ... more

[Permalink](#) [Share](#)



Copernicus Publications

Otvorena recenzija

- Bez anonimnosti
- Objavljena i svima dostupna uz rad
- *British Medical Journal* (1998.)
 - Otvorenost ne utječe na kvalitetu recenzije niti vrijeme trajanja
 - Nisu svi recenzenti pristali
 - Autori zadovoljni

Otvorena recenzija

- *PeerJ*

Version 0.1 (original submission)

 **Valeria Souza** · Jul 10, 2014 · Academic Editor

MINOR REVISIONS

This is a very well done study, however, please follow both reviewers recommendations.

 **Douglas Rusch** · Jul 1, 2014

Basic reporting

This paper is clearly written and well organized. The introduction and background are reasonable given the premise of the paper. Figures and tables are comprehensive and helpful.

The paper generates the following kinds of data:

- 1) Bacterial isolates from a marine environment
- 2) Microbial materials collected on filters from coral and marine environments
- 3) Sequencing reads from bacterial isolates
- 4) Sequencing reads from metagenomic samples
- 5) Assemblies of microbial genomes
- 6) Custom scripts

Validity of the findings


The results are reasonable given the experiments.

Comments for the author

Interesting paper definitely addresses a need of the scientific community. I was surprised not to see more suggestions on how to handle the file corruption issues. Using md5 checksums is fine for validation but use of RAID disk arrays or use of solid state hard drives could probably solve most of these problems.

Cite this review as

Rusch D (2014) Peer Review #1 of "Sequencing at sea: challenges and experiences in Ion Torrent PGM sequencing during the 2013 Southern Line Islands Research Expedition (v0.1)". *PeerJ* <https://dx.doi.org/10.7287/peerj.520v0.1/reviews/1>

 Reviewer 2 · Jul 10, 2014

Basic reporting

The paper presented intends to show the advantages of in situ Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) for remote locations. The work here presents 26 marine microbial genome, and two metagenomes. On board sequencing could be interesting though it presented some technical difficulties and its not clear what would be the advantage of real time processing against deep freezing and sending the samples to the sequencing facilities.

Experimental design

P.9. L 249 Did you perform comparisons of your custom annotation against the standard RAST pipeline? This should be included into a summary of results.

P.10 ~L 270 The e-value is dependent on the database size, could you please state what is the effective database size.

P.10 ~L 279 Would you share your python script?

P. 17 ~L 467 The analysis did not demonstrated as stated, it only suggest. Replace demonstrated with suggests.

P. 17 ~L 468 Change identified their ability to have the predicted potential.

P. 18 ~L 500 Could you state where you remove centrifugation steps from your procedure in methods?

Is there any chance to compare the results from in situ to frozen samples processed with the regular DNA extraction/sequencing protocols?

P.18 ~L 508 Could you please describe what where the steps of the reverse engineering? You were so lucky to have such a hacker on board!

Cite this review as

Anonymous Reviewer (2014) Peer Review #2 of "Sequencing at sea: challenges and experiences in Ion Torrent PGM sequencing during the 2013 Southern Line Islands Research Expedition (v0.1)". *PeerJ* <https://dx.doi.org/10.7287/peerj.520v0.1/reviews/2>


 Download Original Submission (PDF) - submitted Jun 18, 2014

Version 0.2 (accepted)

 **Valeria Souza** · Jul 23, 2014 · Academic Editor

ACCEPT

thanks for the corrections, it is a great paper!!

 [Download Version 0.2 \(PDF\)](#)

 [Download author's rebuttal letter](#)

- submitted Jul

17, 2014



CLINICAL PRACTICE ARTICLE

REVISED A case series discussing the anaesthetic management of pregnant patients with brain tumours [v2; ref status: indexed, <http://f1000r.es/2hn>]

Alaa A Abd-Elseyed^{1,2}, Jose Díaz-Gómez², Gene H Barnett⁴, Andrea Kurz², Maria Inton-Santos³, Sabri Barsoum⁶, Rafi Avitsian³, Zeyd Ebrahim³, Vesna Jevtovic-Todorovic⁶, Ehab Farag^{2,3}

Views

2348

Downloads

518

Get PDF

Open Peer Review

Referee Status:

Invited Referees

	1	2	3
REVISED version 2 published 11 Dec 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> report
	↑		↑
version 1 published 21 Mar 2013	<input type="checkbox"/> report	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> report	<input type="checkbox"/> report

- 1 **Michael James Paech**, University of Western Australia, Australia
- 2 **Hiroyuki Sumikura**, National Center for Child Health and Development, Japan
- 3 **Carolyn Weiniger**, Hadassah-University Medical Center, Israel

Read the reports: (5), Responses (3)

Review Article

A Comprehensive Literature Review of the Epidemiology, Diagnosis and Management of Takotsubo Cardiomyopathy Syndrome

Rohan S. Jayasinghe^{1*} and Akhlaq Ahmad Khan²

¹Cardiology Department, Gold Coast University Hospital and Griffith University, Queensland, Australia.

²Cardiology Department, Gold Coast University Hospital, Queensland, Australia.

Peer review history

Stage	Description	File 1	File 2	Date
Stage 1	Original Manuscript	File 1	NA	2014-05-06
Stage 2	Peer review report 1(Luis Alday, Argentina)	File 1	NA	2014-06-01
Stage 2	Peer review report 2(Anonymous, Italy)	File 1	NA	2014-05-26
Stage 2	Revised manuscript V1 and Author Feedback V1	File 1	File 2	2014-06-14
Stage 2	Final Review report 1(Luis Alday, Argentina)	File 1	File 2	2014-06-23
Stage 2	Final Review report 2(Anonymous, Italy)	File 1	File 2	2014-06-26
Stage 2	Revised manuscript V2 and Author Feedback V2	File 1	File 2	2014-07-01
Stage 3	Comments of Editor_1_V1	File 1	NA	2014-07-02
Stage 3	Revised manuscript V3 and Author Feedback V3	File 1	File 2	2014-07-07
Stage 3	Comments of Editor_1_V2	File 1	NA	2014-07-08

Specific Comment


Average Peer review marks at initial stage: 7.5/10

Average Peer review marks at publication stage: 8.33/10

Kaskadna recenzija

- novi poslovni model
- prosljeđivanje recenziranih članaka
- nema gubljenja vremena
- nema izgubljenih članaka
- npr. *BioMed Central | Genom Biology* → *BMC Genomics* → *BMC Research Notes...*)

Dvostupanjska recenzija



Soundness, not significance


- Brza recenzija – ispravnost, a ne značaj
- Megačasopisi (npr. PLOS)
- Provjera tehničke ispravnosti istraživanja
- Ne predviđa se značaj, tj. mogući odjek u znanstvenoj zajednici
- Dodatak altmetrije
- Zagovornici i protivnici

Izdavači predatori

- Otvoreni pristup
- Naplata troškova od autora
- **NEMA RECENZIJJE**
 - Lažni popisi recenzenata
 - Netransparentan postupak recenzije
 - Brzo prihvaćanje i objavljivanje

Izdavači predatori

- [Beall's list](#)



Zaključak

- Klasična recenzija nadopunjena ili modificirana uvođenjem novih mogućnosti (komentari, otvorena recenzija)
- Transparentnost recenzijskog postupka
- Problem izdavača predatora
- Hrvatski časopisi?

Nove mogućnosti recenzije u elektroničkoj sredini

Dr. sc. Ivana Hebrang Grgić, doc.

Odsjek za informacijske i komunikacijske znanosti
Filozofski fakultet Sveučilišta u Zagrebu